

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

April 28th, 2016

Re: WC Docket No. 10-90 Competitive Coverage Challenge Salina Spavinaw Telephone Company, Inc. A-CAM Version 2.2

Dear Ms. Dortch:

pursuant to the streamlined challenge process established by Public Notice.1 contained in the Alternative Connect America Cost Model ("A-CAM") version 2.2 Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) to challenge the competitive coverage Williamson & Associates, Inc. (FWA) files the following Comments via the FCC's On behalf of Salina Spavinaw Telephone Company, Inc. (SAC 432022), Fred

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Tim Morrissey

President, FWA, Inc

No. 10-90, Public Notice (rel. Apr. 7, 2016) ("Public Notice"). and Illustrative Results and Commences Challenge Process to Competitive Coverage, WC Docket ¹ See Wireline Competition Bureau Releases Alternative Connect America Cost Model Version 2.2

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of) Connect America Fund et al.)	WC Docket No. 10-90
Connect America Cost Model Version 2.2 And Illustrative) Results And Challenge Process to Competitive Coverage)	

TO: The Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau)

COMMENTS - PUBLIC NOTICE

cost, financial and other consulting services for rural Independent Local Exchange Carriers (rural the Challenge process to competitive coverage reflected in the Connect America Fund (CAF) ILECs). Cost Model, WC Docket No. 10-90, released April 7, 2016 ("Public Notice"). FWA provides Spavinaw Telephone Company, Inc. in response to the Commission's Public Notice regarding Fred Williamson & Associates (FWA), Inc. submits these Comments on behalf of Salina-

challenges competitive coverage contained in the updated version of the model. ² These comments provide Commission directed the Bureau to provide a final opportunity for commenters to challenge the model has fields that indicate for each census block whether the block is served by a qualifying that are reflected in Alternative Connect America Cost Model (A-CAM), Version 2.2. reflected in the competitive data in the model that SST is challenging Telephone Company, Inc. (SST), Study Area Code 432022. unsubsidized competitor. In this Public Notice, the Commission initiated a Challenge Process for unsubsidized competitors to competitive Specifically, in the Commission's Rate of Return Reform Order, 1 the coverage data reflected in the A-CAM 2.2 for There are three circumstances Salina-Spavinaw The

April 28, 2016 Page 1

¹ Connect America Fund et al., WC Docket No. 10-90 et al., Report and Order et al., FCC 16-33 (rel. March 30, 2016) (Rate-of-Return Reform Order)

² Id. At 29, para. 71.

- SST's modified to reflect these census blocks as "unserved" by a wireline competitor correspondence and data that indicates the other LEC does not provide service outside of inappropriately reflect another LEC inaccurately indicates and assumes that the other LEC has facilities and customers within designated as "served" by a competitive wireline provider in the A-CAM. Select census blocks that overlap SST's study area boundary are also served by another local exchange own study area boundaries or within SST's study area. study area carrier (LEC). boundary. Several of such census Attachment 1 as a competitor.³ identifies blocks, are inappropriately the The Attachment census A-CAM should be The A-CAM blocks contains
- 2 CAM should be modified to indicate these census blocks are "unserved" by a wireline service in the respective service areas, including the referenced census blocks. provider's website are provided that indicate that the wireline provider does not provide indicated as "served" by the wireline provider. several of the census blocks. 4 competitor A-CAM information indicates that a wireline provider is a qualified competitor for those census blocks. Attachment 2 identifies the census blocks inappropriately For each zip code, "screen shots" Also, referenced in Attachment 2 from the wireline The are zip 7
- $\dot{\omega}$ SST 400970405013037. competitor in these blocks. is protesting two census blocks based on lack of facilities provided by a wireline SST was able to do a visual inspection of the two census blocks in The census blocks are numbers 400970405022056 and

April 28, 2016 Page 2

of this challenge process, this facilitated meeting the April 28, 2016 deadline. another LEC, but only those where the A-CAM shows high costs. are the only blocks that impact the support amount calculated in the A-CAM. or above \$50.00 per location/month. SST has not challenged all census blocks that overlap its study area boundaries that are potentially served by The challenge is isolated to the high cost blocks for two reasons. First, these These census blocks represent those with costs at Second, due to the expedited nature

⁴ See Footnote in the A-CAM by the wireline competitor. For the same reasons cited, SST has only challenged the high cost census blocks shown as served

census blocks. inspection could not locate any overhead facilities in the areas represented by these two competitor was accomplished via aerial facilities. All of the other facilities in the SST study area that had been placed in service by this an Affidavit of an SST employee that performed a thorough visual inspection of the area. order to locate any facilities in place by the wireline competitor. Attachment 3 contains The A-CAM should be modified to indicate these census blocks are The SST employee performing the

We appreciate the Bureau's cooperation with this matter.

"unserved" by a wireline competitor.

Respectfully submitted,

FRED WILLIAMSON & ASSOCIATES, INC.

By, Tim Morrissey

President

121 East College Street

Broken Arrow, OK 74012

Phone: 918-298-1618

Email: tmorrissey@fwainc.com

Dated April 28, 2016

April 28, 2016 Page 3



Filed via ECFS

April 28, 2016

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, S.W.

Washington, DC 20554
Attn: Wireline Competition Bureau

Connect America Fund, WC Docket No. 10-90

Re:

Dear Ms. Dortch,

with Salina-Spavinaw Telephone Telephone Co. Chouteau provides broadband and voice service to the portions of these census blocks located within its study area boundary and does not provide broadband or voice services to the portions of the census blocks outside Chouteau's study area. Communications Commission and the Wireline Competition Bureau that it shares certain Census Blocks Chouteau Telephone Company (Chouteau), Study Area Code 431981, hereby notifies the Federal

Sincerely,

Michael T. Skrivan

VP Regulatory, FairPoint Communications

cc: Katie King, Telecommunications Access Policy Division

service only on its side of the study area boundary: Census Blocks Shared between Chouteau and Salina-Spavinaw Telephone Co., where Chouteau provides

400970405011028 400970405011028 400970405011028 400970405011038 400970405011039 400970405012026 400970405012043 400970405012043	400219776002028	219776002012
--	-----------------	--------------

High Cost Census Blocks Inappropriately Shown as Served - SST, SAC 432022

СВ	ZIP CODE	SAC	WiredServed	ILECServed	WirelessServed	ProviderName
400219776001013	74347	432022	Served	Served	Unserved	Vyve Broadband A, LLC
400219776001015	74464/74359	432022	Served	Served	Unserved	Vyve Broadband A, LLC
400219776001019	74464/74359	432022	Served	Served	Unserved	Vyve Broadband A, LLC
400219776001036	74464	432022	Served	Served	Unserved	Vyve Broadband A, LLC
400219776001041	74347	432022	Served	Unserved	Unserved	Vyve Broadband A, LLC
400219776002012	74364	432022	Served	Served	Unserved	Vyve Broadband A, LLC
400219776002036	74364	432022	Served	Served	Unserved	Vyve Broadband A, LLC
400219776003007	74464/74444	432022	Served	Served	Unserved	Vyve Broadband A, LLC
400413761002222	74347	432022	Served	Served	Unserved	Vyve Broadband A, LLC
400413761003070	74359	432022	Served	Served	Unserved	Vyve Broadband A, LLC

Note: See attached screen shots for zip codes indicated that demonstrate Vyve does not serve census blocks.



Oh, so sorry. Zip Code 74359 falls outside of the Vyve network.

743

No Zip Code? No Problem. Come on in!

SITÉ Says they do not serve



Oh, so sorry. Zip Code 74364 falls outside of the Vyve network.

No Zip Code? No Problem. Come on in!

CB - 4002 1977 6002036

Settle Says they do not serve Those OK 74364



Oh, so sorry. Zip Code 74444 falls outside of the Vyve network.

744

No Zip Code? No Problem. Come on in!

CB-40021977600307

Site Says they do not server they do not server they mody of 74444



Oh, so sorry. Zip Code 74347 falls outside of the Vyve network.

74347

VYVE ON

No Zip Code? No Problem. Come on in!

Site Says They do not serve Kansas OK 74347



Oh, so sorry. Zip Code 74464 falls outside of the Vyve network.

No Zip Code? No Problem. Come on in!

0B-400219776001019 400219776001036 4002197760036

Site Says They do not serve

Affidavit of Jackie Ball

- 32 years. I am Jackie Ball, Central Office Manager of Salina Spavinaw Telephone Company, requirements. Inc. I have worked for Salina Spavinaw in the Telecommunications Industry for over I have full knowledge of telecommunications facilities and network
- 5 any such facilities in these areas. is my understanding that Vyve, the competitor shown as providing broadband and telecommunications facilities, other than Salina Spavinaw's that serve these areas. It 400970405022056 and 400970405013037. voice service in these areas, serves customers utilizing aerial fiber. I didn't observe Locust Grove exchange and are extremely rural. I performed a visual inspection of the areas represented by census These are areas located within Salina's I didn't observe any blocks

COUNTY OF MAYES	STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Verification

herein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief. Jackie Ball, being duly sworn upon his Oath deposes that the statements contained

Jackie Ball
Central Office Manager
Salina Spavinaw Telephone Company, Inc.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this Ab day of _ My commission expires: **Notary Public** NAME OF STATE OF STAT